## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date: 1/19/24 SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL  Inspector: Kyle BACKS TOWN				
Time: 3:30p Weather Conditions: Sunny 36°				
1		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3,:	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	4))	<del></del>	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		egicon (1930), yez yez yez andazara goddi amara ana saida anaadibidaan aha aa
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	ス		<del>nee (tea lii is i</del>
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	<del>a attinutus maratus ta muuji</del> tuun jijuneen jähineen muusekse <del>ausiin seesiseen seesisee</del>
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	- Indiana	と	The second secon
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	K		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	χ		
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes: